

BiogasDoneRight and biomethane potential developments

Guido Bezzi Agronomy Area CIB – Italian Biogas Consortium

Bioenergy – The overlooked contributor to the 1.5°C climate objective GBEP Side event e-EUBCE 9 July 2020







Agriculture and environment: from problem to solution?

CREATING A SUSTAINABLE FOOD FUTURE BY 2050

How do we feed



Source: wri.org/sustfoodfuture



Source: IPCC "Mitigation report" 2014 13 % of GHG emissions from agriculture

WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE



Agriculture and Soil C change potential

PHOTOSYNTHESYS CO₂ capture and organication

> SOIL FERTILITY CO₂ sequestration via OM





Additional SOC storage potential for 12 natural pathways to climate mitigation





Biogasdoneright, Agroecology and soil OM



Bioenergy/Biogas integrated in farm

- FERTILIZERS + NUTRIENT RECYCLING

+ ORGANIC **MATTER** AND SOIL **CONSERVATION**

Farm development



With digestate nutrient recycling from NPK to C-NPK

NPK



C-NPK



From NPK to C-NPK and sustainable agriculture















From NPK to C-NPK already in practice for sequencial cropping





Biogasdoneright sequencial cropping and soil covering

E. Folli elab. Palazzetto Farm for CIB

Positive on-farm biodiversity impacts after replacing monocropping with sequential cropping combined with nutrient recovery via biogas digestate

Positive impacts of the sequential cropping in terms of higher photosynthesis rate and soil use efficiency. Palazzetto farm are significantly increasing soil covered for whole year (59%) thanks to the increase of surface involved at sequential cropping.

Positive impact on weed control efficiency and lower herbicides use.

Positive effects in crop management and adaptability to market.

Biogasdoneright and OM increase

G. Bezzi et al., 2016

Penn State University, preliminar data, 2019

THE GLOBAL BIOENERGY PARTNERSHIP SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS FOR BIOENERGY FIRST EDITION

Biogasdoneright and GBEP env. indicators

N.	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RESULT	
1	Lifecycle GHG emissions	emissions Lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions from bioenergy production and use, as per the methodology chosen nationally or at community level, and reported using the GBEP Common Methodological Framework for GHG Lifecycle Analysis of Bioenergy 'Version One'.		
2	Soil quality	Percentage of land for which soil quality, in particular in terms of soil organic carbon, is maintained or improved out of total land on which bioenergy feedstock is cultivated or harvested		
3	Harvest levels of wood resources (NOT APPLICABLE)	Annual harvest of wood resources by volume and as a percentage of net growth or sustained yield, and the percentage of the annual harvest used for bioenergy		
4	Emissions of non-GHG air pollutants, including air toxics	Emissions of non-GHG air pollutants, including air toxics, from bioenergy feedstock production, processing, transport of feedstocks, intermediate products and end products, and use; and in comparison with other energy sources		
5	Water use and efficiency	 Water withdrawn from nationally determined watershed(s) for the production and processing of bioenergy feedstocks, expressed as the percentage of total actual renewable water resources (TARWR) and as the percentage of total annual water withdrawals (TAWW), disaggregated into renewable and non-renewable water sources; Volume of water withdrawn from nationally determined watershed(s) used for the production and processing of bioenergy feedstocks per unit of bioenergy output, disaggregated into renewable and non-renewable a		
6	Water quality	 Pollutant loadings to waterways and bodies of water attributable to fertilizer and pesticide application for bioenergy feedstock cultivation, and expressed as a percentage of pollutant loadings from total agricultural production in the watershed; Pollutant loadings to waterways and bodies of water attributable to bioenergy processing effluents, and expressed as a percentage of pollutant pollutant loadings from total agricultural processing effluents, and expressed as a percentage of pollutant loadings in the watershed. 		
7	Biological diversity in the landscape	 Area and percentage of nationally recognized areas of high biodiversity value or critical ecosystems converted to bioenergy production; Area and percentage of the land used for bioenergy production where nationally recognized invasive species, by risk category, are cultivated; Area and percentage of the land used for bioenergy production where nationally recognized conservation methods are used. 		
8	Land use and land-use change related to bioenergy feedstock production	 Total area of land for bioenergy feedstock production, and as compared to total national surface and agricultural and managed forest land area Percentages of bioenergy from yield increases, residues, wastes and degraded or contaminated land Net annual rates of conversion between land-use types caused directly by bioenergy feedstock production, including the following (amongst others): o arable land and permanent crops, permanent meadows and pastures, and managed forests; o natural forests and grasslands (including savannah, excluding natural permanent meadows and pastures), peatlands, and wetlands 		

Biogasdoneright and environmental effects

120-

100 -

115

84

Positive Effects of AD in Agriculture

Less chemical fertilizers use and nutrient recycling

Animal manure and

other by-products

valorisation

Adoption of conservative techniques and precision farming

CO ₂	Liming	12		
	Chemical Fertilizers	527		
с ц	Enteric Fermentation	14.039		
	Animal manure manag.	3.106		
	Rice cultivation	1.710		
	Residues combustion	17		
N ₂ O	Animal manure manag.	2.122		
	Soils	8.857		
	Residues combustion	4		

Italian Emissions in Agriculture Mt CO₂eq ISPRA, 2018

Biogasdoneright and agriculture: possible solution

GHG emissions (GtCO2e/year) Gross positive GHG emissions 80 Mitigated CO₂ from fossil fuels, industry Examples of associated technologies **GHG** emissions and land use changes 70 CH₄, N₂O and F-Gases 60 Conventional abatement technologies 50 other GHG 40 30 CO2 20 Net zero **GHG** emissions Emitting 10 technologie 0 Carbon removal Net negative -10 technologies **Gross negative GHG** emissions CO₂ emissions -20 2010 2070 2100 2020 2040 2060 2080 2090 2030 2050

National Academies Report A Research Agenda for Carbon Dioxide Removal and Reliable Sequestration (2019) Biogas and Biomethane from agriculture can be a win win solution for food-feed and renewable energy production without ILUC risks with sequencial cropping

Biogasdoneright: lower emissions from agriculture and biocarburants

Biogasdoneright: C-seq in soil via OM and improving of soil fertility. Natural CCS way improved and optimized

Many thanks!

Agronomy Area CIB g.bezzi@consorziobiogas.it

www.consorziobiogas.it

Bioenergy – The overlooked contributor to the 1.5°C climate objective **GBEP Side event e-EUBCE 9 July 2020**

Dr. Agr. Guido Bezzi

