# LIGNOCELLULOSIC PLANTS AS BUFFER ZONES



GBEP – IEA Bioenergy Workshop "Examples of Positive Bioenergy and Water Relationships" *Royal Swedish Academy of Agriculture and Science* Stockholm | August 25-26, 2015

**M. CRISTINA NEGRI, HERBERT SSEGANE AND PATTY CAMPBELL** ENERGY SYSTEMS DIVISION, ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY

negri@anl.gov 630 252-9662



# **PROJECT STATUS AND DESCRIPTION**

- Project started 2011
- Predicted harvest of willow biomass: winter 2017
- Project includes a field scale trial and a watershed modeling effort.





# PROJECT LOCATION: LIVINGSTON COUNTY, IL, USA

#### Indian Creek watershed, IL

### Fairbury site, IL soil map





# **POSITIVE IMPACTS FOR WATER QUALITY AND AVAILABILITY**

- Goal of the project is to achieve a 30% reduction in nitrogen in subsurface flow using a willow buffer, while maintaining acceptable yields and reducing greenhouse gas emissions
- Consumptive water use to be measured and compared with water availability.



# MAIN DRIVERS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- Need to engineer and test ways to build sustainability by design into bioenergy systems
- Need to address water quality concerns
  - Locally drinking water impaired by nitrate concentrations
  - <u>Nationally</u> impacts in Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia to be addressed through nutrient management in Mississippi River Basin.



## THE BIG PICTURE: AGRICULTURE'S SUSTAINABILITY CHALLENGE

- Providing food, feed, fiber, energy for a growing world population
- Conserving soil, water and biodiversity, and decreasing greenhouse gases
- Providing resilience to a changing climate



E. Detaille, Charge of the 4th Hussars at the battle of Friedland, 14 June 1807 - <u>http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/1/10</u> Detaille 4th French hussar at Friedland.ina

### **Questions for bioenergy development**

- Is there sufficient land?
- Is land for food and conservation impacted?
- Do we have the right crops?
- What are the impacts to water quality and quantity?
- Is there a better way to plan for our resources?



6

### **DESIGNING LANDSCAPES TO INCLUDE BIOENERGY**

#### LEVERAGING THE POSITIVE TRAITS OF LIGNOCELLULOSIC BIOENERGY CROPS AND BUFFER FUNCTIONS TO INCREASE SUSTAINABILITY



### **NUTRIENT LOADINGS**

- Exploit deep rooted perennials to capture runoff and subsurface flow in strips and target areas
- Beneficially reuse nutrients lost from other crops to enhance biomass yields



### WATER QUANTITY

- Design planting to match water budget
- Preferentially target marginal water



### **GRASSLAND CONVERSION AND DEFORESTATION**

• Sustainably intensify arable land production through resource allocation planning



### BIODIVERSITY

• Use bioenergy crops as shelter, connectivity and nesting opportunities to support biodiversity



### SUSTAINABLE LAND USE INTENSIFICATION AT THE FARM LEVEL











hoto credit: Dr. Tim Volk, SUNY ESP

Underproductive land + excess nitrate recycle + deep rooted bioenergy crop = integrated landscape: sustained bioenergy production + environmental services + optimized farm revenue

## SUBFIELD VARIATIONS IN SOIL CONDITIONS DETERMINE DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND FARM REVENUES



- Not all parts of a field are equally likely to leak nutrients or equally productive
- Areas that are sensitive to grain price and dependent on acceptable loss are candidate to bioenergy production (Bonner et al., 2014)
- Risk reduction (flood, drought) is also an economic consideration

- Finding the sweet spot where it is cost-effective to grow biomass rather than corn/soybean, and where we can target the highest nutrient losses
- Dual-use crops and dual payment: paving the way for ecosystem services valuation for economic sustainability.



# **DESIGNING A BIOENERGY BUFFER**



Ssegane et al., 2015

# **KEY ENABLING FACTORS**

- A vision
- A farming community interested in the health of its watershed and receptive to innovation
- Partnership with CTIC, a non-profit conservation organization already in the watershed aggregating the community of farmers around conservation practices.



## **ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING**



# **PREDICTED IMPACTS**

### DNDC MODEL DEVELOPMENT RESULTS: N<sub>2</sub>O EMISSIONS, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> LEACHING AND CROP YIELDS



Simulated average (and standard error) annual yields, leached  $NO_3$ , and  $N_2O$  emissions at the Fairbury site, IL for 2008 to 2012.

Scenario <sup>a</sup> -	Yield	Leached NO <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O flux
	Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	kgN ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	kgN ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>
Corn	$10.4\pm1.7$	$31.9 \pm 4.4$	$2.2\pm0.3$
Corn / switchgrass	$8.7 \pm 1.0$	$11.6 \pm 1.6$	$2.0\pm0.2$
Corn / willow	$9.7\pm0.6$	$12.5\pm1.6$	$1.9\pm0.2$
% reduction <sup>b</sup>		$61.0 \pm 6.2$	$5.5\pm3.1$
		$59.3\pm4.0$	$10.8\pm2.6$

<sup>a</sup>Corn scenario is the continuous corn while corn/switchgrass and corn/willow scenarios replace only corn in the buffer with one of the energy crops. The yields under scenarios two and three are for the energy crops in the buffer. The NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are area weighted values for the entire field and thus include areas still under corn.

<sup>b</sup>Top values are percent reductions when the buffer is under switchgrass and the bottom values under willow

From Ssegane et al., 2015

13

### CREATING AN ENGAGED COMMUNITY OF STAKEHOLDERS GENERATES PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS

**Field Conditions** 



In collaboration with the University of Michigan

Soil and Elevation Map



# CHALLENGES AND POTENTIAL FOR SCALING UP

- Poor soils are poor soils....
- Management practices for crops need to be revisited (e.g. weed management)
- Need to find "cheap" ways to design and monitor
- Markets for biomass need to be established
- Great deal of technical assistance is needed to scale up
- USDOE is examining proposals for full watershed demonstrations
- Significant interest from industry, farmers, and regulators can be leveraged.



## SCALE UP TO WATERSHED LAND PROPERTIES ARE A BASIS FOR WATERSHED DESIGN



## CROP PRODUCTION AND WATER QUALITY PROJECTIONS IN THE WATERSHED





Hamada et al., 2015

# SUMMARY

- Ongoing work provides primary data on the system's performance, modeling has shown landscape design to be potentially beneficial to water quality
- Not all buffers are created equal: we need to understand their potential benefit and ideal placement
- Available datasets can help in targeting land for bioenergy and ecosystem services
- Current management practices need to be revisited (e.g. weed management)
- Work needs to be done to further design on farm logistics
- Direct involvement of the farming community is critical.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Our student interns from 2011 to 2015!
- Paul Kilgus
- Terry Bachtold –Livingston County SWCD
- Eric McTaggart, Livingston County USDA-NRCS
- Conservation Technology Information Center
- Gayathri Gopalakrishnan
- University of Michigan Nassauer Lab, Joan Nassauer and John Graham
- The Indian Creek Watershed Project Leadership and Sponsors
- Eric Rund, Harold Reetz, and many others who provide realistic input
- Kristen Johnson, Alicia Lindauer, Mark Elless, Alison Goss Eng and Max Broad - BETO.

Funding from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office is gratefully acknowledged. The submitted manuscript has been created by UChicago Argonne, LLC, Operator of Argonne National Laboratory ("Argonne"). Argonne, a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science laboratory, is operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. The U.S. Government retains for itself, and others acting on its behalf, a paidup nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in said article to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, by or on behalf of the Government.

### **SELECTED PUBLICATIONS**

Ssegane, H., M. C. Negri, J. Quinn and M. Urgun-Demirtas (2015). **Multifunctional Landscapes: Site Characterization and Field-Scale Design to Incorporate Biomass Production into an Agricultural System.** *Biomass and Bioenergy* 80: 179-190

Hamada, Y., H. Ssegane, and M. C. Negri (2015). Mapping Intra-Field Yield Variation Using High Resolution Satellite Imagery to Integrate Bioenergy and Environmental Stewardship in an Agricultural Watershed *Remote Sensing*, 2015, 7, 9753-9768; doi:10.3390/rs70809753

Ssegane, H., and M. C. Negri (2015). Designing a Sustainable Integrated Landscape for Commodity and Bioenergy Crops in a Tile-drained Agricultural Watershed. (Submitted to GCB Bioenergy –revisions)

Ssegane. H. and Negri, M. C. (2014). Integration of Commodity and Bioenergy Crops to Boost Conservation and Environmental Sustainability. Presented at the 2014 69th Soil and Water Conservation Society International Annual Conference, Lombard, IL, July 27-30, 2013.

Gopalakrishnan G.; M.C. Negri, W.A. Salas, (2012) Modeling biogeochemical impacts of bioenergy buffers with perennial grasses for a row-crop field in Illinois, Global Change Biology Bioenergy, DOI: 10.1111/j.1757-1707.2011.01145.

Gopalakrishnan G., M. C. Negri and S. W. Snyder (2011) . A novel framework to classify marginal Land for Sustainable Biomass Feedstock Production. *J. Environ. Qual.* 40:1593–1600.

Gopalakrishnan G., M. C. Negri and S. W. Snyder (2011). Redesigning agricultural landscapes for sustainability using bioenergy crops: quantifying the tradeoffs between agriculture, energy and the environment. Aspects of Applied Biology 112, 2011-Biomass and Energy Crops IV.

Gopalakrishnan, G., M.C. Negri, M. Wang, M. Wu, S. Snyder, and L. LaFreniere (2009). Biofuels, land and water: a systems approach to sustainability. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 43; 6094-6100.

ANL (2014) Incorporating Bioenergy into Sustainable Landscape Designs—Workshop Two: Agricultural Landscapes; A Draft Report. Argonne National Laboratory, <a href="https://bioenergykdf.net/content/incorporating-bioenergy-sustainable-landscape-designs%E2%80%94workshop-two-agricultural-landscapes">https://bioenergykdf.net/content/incorporating-bioenergy-sustainable-landscape-designs%E2%80%94workshop-two-agricultural-landscapes</a>

